

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/994,059

Applicant(s)

QUELLAIS ET AL.

Examiner

Marie Patterson

Art Unit

3728

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marie Patterson.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Pous.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 March 2003 .

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: all of record .

Identification of prior art discussed: all of record .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative provided arguments directed towards the species election, the Examiner is waiving the requirement for applicant to elect in response to the office action so that she can thoroughly consider applicants' arguments directed towards such. Other arguments directed towards recapture, 112 rejections, and prior art were discussed. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

M.D. Patterson
Primary Examiner



Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.